

Overview & Scrutiny Recommendation Response Pro forma

Under section 9FE of the Local Government Act 2000, Overview and Scrutiny Committees must require the Cabinet or local authority to respond to a report or recommendations made thereto by an Overview and Scrutiny Committee. Such a response must be provided within two months from the date on which it is requested¹ and, if the report or recommendations in questions were published, the response also must be so.

This template provides a structure which respondents are encouraged to use. However, respondents are welcome to depart from the suggested structure provided the same information is included in a response. The usual way to publish a response is to include it in the agenda of a meeting of the body to which the report or recommendations were addressed.

Issue: Traffic Filters

Lead Cabinet Member(s): Cllr Duncan Enright, Cabinet Member for Travel and Development Strategy, Cllr Andrew Gant, Cabinet Member for Highway Management

Date response requested:² 29 November 2022

Response to report:

Enter text here

Response to recommendations:

Recommendation	Accepted, rejected or partially accepted	Proposed action (if different to that recommended) and indicative timescale (unless rejected)
That the Council reviews the number of residential passes made available, with a view to pursuing greater traffic reduction through		

¹ Date of the meeting at which report/recommendations were received

² Date of the meeting at which report/recommendations were received

Overview & Scrutiny Recommendation Response Pro forma

<p>giving out fewer residential per-person traffic filter passes during the trial period than is currently proposed.</p>		
<p>That the Council implements the policy that universal passes should be made available only to Oxfordshire residents</p>		
<p>That the Council produces an additional traffic model for the scenario under which “universal” or “Oxfordshire-wide” traffic filter passes are included in the model</p>		
<p>That the Council consults with the Street Voice Citizens’ Jury members on the optimal number of residential traffic filter passes</p>		
<p>That the Council undertakes representative polling of the public about the traffic filters alongside the ETRO consultation</p>		
<p>That the Council adopts a default position that vans are not exempt from the traffic filters, and that it develops a definition which creates exceptions to enable legitimate business use</p>		
<p>That the Council provides a clear definition to the term ‘car club’ in order to prevent gaming</p>		
<p>That the Council publishes the advice and reasoning on which the recommendation to delay the start date of the trial is based</p>		
<p>That the Council does not accept the recommendations in Annex 4 concerning changes to the timing for filters on Hythe Bridge Street and Hollow Way/Marston Ferry Road and continues with the timings proposed in the consultation</p>		

Overview & Scrutiny Recommendation Response Pro forma

That the Council removes 'until 2024' from its recommendation to delay the start date for the trial in Annex 4		
That the Council reviews the impact of proposals with a view to improving the ease of egress for users of Redbridge Park and Ride		
That the Council undertakes work around Personal Travel Planning alongside the Traffic Filters proposals		